

LONDON BOROUGHS OF CROYDON AND LEWISHAM

STREET LIGHTING JOINT COMMITTEE

To: **Representing the London Borough of Croydon**
Councillor Stuart Collins, Deputy Leader - Cabinet Member for Clean Green Croydon
Councillor Stuart King, Cabinet Member for Transport & Environment

Representing the London Borough of Lewisham
Councillor Kevin Bonavia, Cabinet Member for Resources
Councillor Alan Smith, Deputy Mayor of the London Borough of Lewisham

A meeting of the **LONDON BOROUGHS OF CROYDON AND LEWISHAM STREET LIGHTING JOINT COMMITTEE**, which you are hereby summoned to attend, will be held on **Thursday 16th March 2017** at **6:30pm**, in **Room F9 The Town Hall, Katharine Street, Croydon CR0 1NX**.

JACQUELINE HARRIS-BAKER
Acting Council Solicitor and Acting
Monitoring Officer
London Borough of Croydon
Bernard Weatherill House
8 Mint Walk, Croydon CR0 1EA

MARGOT ROHAN
Senior Members Services Manager
(020) 8726 6000 extn.62564
Margot.Rohan@croydon.gov.uk
www.croydon.gov.uk/agenda
7 March 2017

Members of the public are welcome to attend this meeting. If you require any assistance, please contact MARGOT ROHAN, as above.

AGENDA - PART A

- 1. Apologies for absence**
- 2. Minutes of the meeting held on Thursday 20th October 2016 (Page 1)**

To approve the minutes as a true and correct record.

- 3. Disclosure of Interest**

In accordance with the Council's Code of Conduct and the statutory provisions of the Localism Act, Members and co-opted Members of the Council are reminded that it is a requirement to register disclosable pecuniary interests (DPIs) and gifts and hospitality in excess of £50. In addition, Members and co-opted Members are reminded that unless their disclosable pecuniary interest is registered on the register of interests or is the subject of a pending notification to the Monitoring Officer, they are required to disclose those disclosable pecuniary interests at the meeting. This should be done by completing the Disclosure of Interest form and handing it to the Business Manager at the start of the meeting. The Chairman will then invite Members to make their disclosure orally at the commencement of Agenda item 3. Completed disclosure forms will be provided to the Monitoring Officer for inclusion on the Register of Members' Interests.

- 4. Urgent Business (if any)**

To receive notice from the Chair of any business not on the Agenda which should, in the opinion of the Chair, by reason of special circumstances, be considered as a matter of urgency.

- 5. Exempt Items**

To confirm the allocation of business between Part A and Part B of the Agenda.

- 6. Joint Street Lighting PFI Update (Page 5)**

The joint report of the Executive Director of Place (Croydon) and Executive Director, Resources & Regeneration (Lewisham) is attached.

- 7. [The following motion is to be moved and seconded as the "camera resolution" where it is proposed to move into part B of a meeting]**

That, under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act, 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information falling within those paragraphs indicated in Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended.

AGENDA - PART B

None

LONDON BOROUGH OF CROYDON AND LEWISHAM

Street Lighting Joint Committee

Meeting held on Thursday 20th October 2016 at 6:30pm in Room G4

The Town Hall, Katharine Street, Croydon CR0 1NX

MINUTES - PART A

Present: Representing the London Borough of Croydon
Councillor Stuart Collins, Deputy Leader - Cabinet Member for
Clean Green Croydon
Councillor Stuart King, Cabinet Member for Transport &
Environment

Representing the London Borough of Lewisham
Councillor Kevin Bonavia, Cabinet Member for Resources

Also present: Croydon: Rob Howes (Contract Monitoring Officer), Steve Iles
(Director of Streets); Lewisham: Katharine Nidd (Comercial
Manager)

Absent: Councillor Alan Smith (Lewisham)

Apologies: Councillor Alan Smith (Lewisham)

A7/16 Appointment of Chair and Vice-Chair

Councillor Bonavia proposed and Councillor Collins seconded that
Councillor King be appointed Chair for the meeting.
The Committee **RESOLVED** to appoint Councillor King as Chair of
the meeting.

Councillor King proposed and Councillor Collins seconded that
Councillor Bonavia be made Vice-Chair for the meeting.
The Committee **RESOLVED** to appoint Councillor Bonavia as Vice-
Chair of the meeting

A8/16 Minutes of the meeting held on Thursday 10th March 2016

The Committee **RESOLVED** to approve the Part A minutes of the
last meeting held on Thursday 10 March 2016 as a correct record of
that meeting.

A9/16 Disclosure of Interest

There were none.

A10/16 Urgent Business (if any)

There was no urgent business to consider.

A11/16 Exempt Items

The allocation of the meeting between Part A and Part B was agreed.

A12/16 Joint Street Lighting PFI Update

The Director of Streets for Croydon highlighted the key issues from the last quarter performance update:

- Regarding the core investment programme (PS1), the report data went up to the end July. At that stage, the programme was 99% in Lewisham and 96% complete in Croydon. There was a delay in the completion of milestone 10 (completion was due by the end of July) however the new estimated delivery was the end of November. Overall there had been a significant recovery from the previous position and the final certificate to confirm the completion of the core investment plan was due by the end of November.
- A key issue for Croydon was the removal of stumps; 3,500 had already been removed from the borough and the estimated date for complete removal in Croydon was mid-November.
- The Committee were directed to page 9 of the report that detailed action on reported faults (PS3). In the previous 6 months, all emergency faults had been fixed within the stated time limit. For non-emergency faults, 16 call-outs were actioned outside of the stated time limit and as a result the contractor would receive financial adjustments.

In response to questions from the Committee, the following was reported:

- There was a certification process for the completion of snagging on each road in the respective boroughs. There was a time frame for completion and failure to do so would incur financial penalties for the contractor.
- Light-measuring calculations were undertaken to determine whether a given road required lights on one side of the street or both. The contractor undertook a survey for each road in the boroughs to determine this. It was agreed that the website would be updated to provide this information for residents.

- If residents identified inadequately lit streets after the core investment programme was completed, there was still a duty on the contractor to address it within the contract. A photometric test would be taken to measure whether the light levels met the contractual requirement.
- There was a standard specification in the contract that dealt with the lighting of new housing developments in both boroughs.

The Committee **RESOLVED** to note the contents of the report.

A13/16 [The following motion is to be moved and seconded as the “camera resolution” where it is proposed to move into part B of a meeting]

MINUTES - PART B

B14/16 **Part B Minutes of Meeting held on 10 March 2016**

The Committee **RESOLVED** to approve the Part B minutes of the last meeting held on Thursday 10 March as a correct record.

The meeting finished at 6.59pm.

This page is intentionally blank

Report To:	Croydon & Lewisham Joint Street Lighting Committee 16 March 2017
Report Author :	John Algar : PFI Contract Manager
Agenda Item :	6
Subject :	The Joint Street Lighting PFI Update
Lead Officers:	Croydon: Executive Director of Place Lewisham: Executive Director for Resources and Regeneration
Cabinet Members:	Croydon : Councillors Stuart King and Stuart Collins Lewisham : Councillors Alan Smith and Kevin Bonavia
Wards:	All
Corporate Priority / Policy Context:	
Improving street lighting supports Croydon Council's corporate priorities of improving the environment and reducing crime and Lewisham Council's corporate priorities of clean, green and liveable, and safety, security and a visible presence.	
Financial Summary:	
Noting the recommendations in this report will reflect the agreement made by Croydon and Lewisham Council to the sums set out in the P.F.I contract agreement. Each authority has made plans as it considers appropriate for the financial implications of the project. No additional expenditure is proposed as a result of this report.	
Forward Plan Key Decision Reference Number: N/A	
Not for publication	
N/A	
Recommendations:	
The Committee is asked to note	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The performance of Service Provider in respect of the street lighting PFI for August 2016 – January 2017 • The completion of the Core Investment Programme (CIP) for both Croydon and Lewisham. 	

1. Executive Summary

This report advises the Committee of the progress and completion of the Core Investment Programme (CIP) and the overall performance of the Service Provider during August 2016 – January 2017.

2. Detail

Operational Performance Standards Overview

- 2.1 The Output Specification for this contract defines both councils' requirements for the Service that the Service Provider shall provide pursuant to this Contract. The Performance Standards within specify the required outcome, service delivery, performance requirements and measurement criteria in respect of each part of the Service. The performance is reviewed on a monthly basis as part of the "Monthly Monitoring Report" and this is linked directly to any financial adjustments for failing to meet the minimum requirements set out each performance standard. Details of the level of adjustments applied to this contract to date are shown in the Part B agenda of this committee.
- 2.2 The Service Provider shall perform the service in accordance with the following Performance Standards:

PS1 - Investment Programmes

- 2.3 The Service Provider designed and installed new apparatus during the five year CIP across both councils to the current British Standards and contract specification. The following table illustrates the Milestones that were achieved.

MILESTONE NO:	QUANTITY	COMPLETION DATE	COMPLETED DATE	COMMENTS
M1	207	31-Jan-12	COMPLETED 30/11/12	2 MONTHS EARLY
M2	3,107	31-Jul-12	COMPLETED 31/10/12	3 MONTHS LATE
M3	7,143	31-Jan-13	COMPLETED 30/06/13	5 MONTHS LATE
M4	12,009	31-Jul-13	COMPLETED 30/04/14	9 MONTHS LATE
M5	16,885	31-Jan-14	COMPLETED 30/09/14	8 MONTHS LATE
M6	22,915	31-Jul-14	COMPLETED 31/03/15	8 MONTHS LATE
M7	28,940	31-Jan-15	COMPLETED 31/08/15	7 MONTHS LATE
M8	34,316	31-Jul-15	COMPLETED 31/12/15	5 MONTHS LATE
M9	39,683	31-Jan-16	COMPLETED 31/05/16	4 MONTHS LATE
M10	46,665	31-Jul-16	COMPLETED 31/10/16	3 MONTHS LATE

As reported at the last committee a significant amount of work had been undertaken by the Service Provider and the councils monitoring team to implement continuous improvements in this area of the project.

The committee will recall the main contributory factor for the delays has been the time taken to disconnect / connect the new street lights to the "Triple Concentric" (TCC) UKPN cable, this cable has been identified in two thirds of the borough of Croydon. The designs of the remaining streets have continued to improve with less TCC needing jointing works as these are now being designed to be jointed on the Low Voltage Mains cable.

As a result of this the CIP did complete as previously reported 3 months late of the original planned date.

Below is the final certified assets upon completion of the Core Investment Programme.

- Y1: Column Removals
- Y2: Signs and Bollard Removals
- Y3: Columns connected to Central Management System
- Y4: Columns installed in Unlit Streets

	Y1 (L/C Removals)	Y2 (ISF Removals)	Y3 (CMS)	Y4 (Unlit Streets)
Cumulative Certified to September 2016	37,665	7,003	40,956	90
Certified in October 2016	825	372	1,181	139
Cumul. Certified to October 2016	38,490	7,375	42,137	229

Legacy Stumps (Feeder Points)

2.4 Due to the complication of the Croydon Public Lighting Cable Network many columns were left as temporary feeder pillars so that the remaining network could function This affected many areas across Croydon which resulted in over 3,616 stumps being removed as identified in the table below:

Ward	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Total Stumps to be Removed per Ward
ADDISCOMBE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	73	83	0	0	22	11	2	14	0	1	214
ASHBURTON	0	0	0	0	11	17	10	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	21	0	3	3	69
BENSHAM MANOR	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	113	21	12	0	19	15	10	21	2	229
BROAD GREEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	34	36	17	0	18	12	46	1	0	256
COULSDON EAST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	7	1	1	11
COULSDON WEST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	5
CROHAM	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	29	31	0	31	74	0	2	18	14	38	6	283
FAIRFIELD	0	0	0	0	9	0	8	32	0	4	0	0	31	0	13	37	36	10	3	203
HEATHFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	8	2	94	2	10	123
PURLEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
NEW ADDINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
NORBURY	0	0	0	0	106	11	13	0	21	33	2	1	3	0	4	9	38	3	1	247
SELHURST	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	131	1	1	20	36	19	7	1	241
SELSDON AND BALLARDS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	0	35
SHIRLEY	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	8	1	0	16
SOUTH NORWOOD	0	0	0	0	1	7	9	8	29	10	2	29	3	7	4	48	6	2	0	167
THORNTON HEATH	0	0	84	0	3	0	6	33	0	9	7	8	33	96	1	32	32	3	0	369
UPPER NORWOOD	0	0	0	80	31	40	22	0	8	15	0	13	0	15	4	6	42	3	8	289
WADDON	0	0	0	0	8	67	93	7	0	17	0	0	21	0	9	3	23	11	0	261
WEST THORNTON	34	73	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	4	0	0	84	3	2	24	33	2	0	299
WOODSIDE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	1	9	1	0	139	7	33	39	3	1	288
Total Stumps to be Removed per Month	67	73	84	80	176	143	161	153	89	284	276	295	326	303	123	341	476	129	37	3616

All the legacy stumps have been removed but both Skanska and the Client Monitoring Team are checking the borough to ensure all stumps are removed. If any further stumps are identified then these will be prioritised for removal by Skanska and UKPN. If these stumps are not removed within 20 business days (snagging requirement) financial adjustments are being applied under Performance Standard 3 in line with the Payment Mechanism.

PS2 - Planned Maintenance, Inspection and Testing;

2.5 This Performance Standard covers planned maintenance, inspection and testing of street lighting equipment. Routine scouting of street lights is undertaken and the performance is measured over a four-month period.

Due to the completion of the Core Investment Programme the night scouts are now only required to pick up any illuminated signs out of light and any of maintenance issues i.e doors off, twisted sign lights or lantern realignments etc. Also included are any Car Parks and Subways where fittings do not have the capacity for nodes to be connected the CMS.

All column outages are now reporting via City Touch on the Central Management System.

2.6 The table below illustrates the overall performance over the last 6 months, the Service Provider has achieved the required level of 99% lights throughout this period, and therefore no financial adjustment has occurred.

	January 2017	December 2016	November 2016	October 2016
(Y) # occasions not In Light	149	88	213	239
(T) # Lighting Points	106,378	106,378	104,616	52,314
^{A/B} In Light **	99.860%	99.917%	99.796%	99.543%
In Light:	99.779%			

	September 2016	August 2016	July 2016	June 2016
(Y) # occasions not In Light	239	241	280	278
(T) # Lighting Points	52,314	51,639	51,620	51,585
^{A/B} In Light **	99.543%	99.533%	99.458%	99.461%
In Light:	99.499%			

2.7 The Client Monitoring Team continue to carry out own shadow night scouts to verify the quality of the night scouts and the accuracy of the Central Management System as well as reviewing the vehicle tracker reports to verify the streets visited. Joint night scouts with the Service Provider are also carried out on a monthly basis.

2.8

As part of the commissioning of the night lighting there is a period of time (average 3 days) where street lights will be on 24hrs a day until the two way communication with the central management system is made.

PS3 - Operational Responsiveness and Reactive Maintenance;

2.9 This Performance Standard covers the operational responsiveness of the Service Provider to attend to faults within the relevant rectification period.

2.10 The tables below illustrate the performance for emergency and non – emergency faults in and out of time for the period of August 2016 – January 2017. Over this period all Emergency Call Outs have been attended within the 1 hour time frame.

Fault Type	Number of occasions : In Time					
	Aug 2016	Sep 2016	Oct 2016	Nov 2016	Dec 2016	Jan 2017
Emergency faults	35	69	32	48	18	26
Non-Emergency Faults	679	624	839	679	379	536

Fault Type	Number of occasions : Out of Time					
	Aug 2016	Sep 2016	Oct 2016	Nov 2016	Dec 2016	Jan 2017
Emergency faults	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Emergency Faults	9	5	15	7	9	9

2.11 During this reporting period not all non-emergency faults were attended in time and therefore a financial adjustment has been applied in line with the Payment Mechanism.

PS4 - Contract Management and Customer Interface;

2.12 For the Service Period, the Service Provider shall provide a customer care and contract management service in accordance with this Performance Standard that includes the development, operation and maintenance of a Management Information System (MIS) and Customer Care System (CCS).

2.13 The table below shows telephone calls receive by call centre and emergency phone line between August 2016 and January 2017, the target is 95% of all calls being answered within 25 seconds. Over this period the Service Provider continues to perform well above the performance target.

Aug 2016	Sept 2016	Oct 2016	Nov 2016	Dec 2016	Jan 2017	Prescribed response period
393	576	350	372	420	376	= # calls received by call centre/emergency phone line
392	576	350	370	420	371	= # answered by a trained call agent within 25 seconds
99.75%	100.00%	100.00%	99.46%	100.00%	98.67%	= % answered by a trained call agent within 25 seconds

PS5 - Strategic Assistance and Reporting;

2.14 The Service Provider shall provide relevant, accurate and timely information to the Councils on its performance in relation to the services in Monthly Service Reports and Annual Service Reports to ensure that the strategic assistance and reporting procedures adopted for delivery of the Service:

- (i) enable the Councils to properly monitor the Service and have sufficient data and information to assess accurately what Adjustments, (if any) to the Unitary Charge should be made;
- (ii) allow the Councils to demonstrate that it is achieving its Best Value Duty and continuous improvement in the delivery of the Service; and
- (iii) allow the Councils to regularly review the Service to determine whether it meets current and future needs; consult with users and other stakeholders and benchmark performance against other Service Providers.

Monthly monitoring and Monthly Payment Reports are combined to reduce the administration burden for the councils and are provided by the fifth business day of the month following the month for which the report relates.

For this period all reports were received on time.

PS6 - Working Practices;

2.15 Performance Standard 6 requires the Service Provider to ensure it operates the day-to-day working practices correctly and safely. Categories of the faults relating to these practices are detailed below:

Note: Routine service faults: This is taken from the schedule of service failures, where each item is given a point's score. The Authority and the Service Provider have agreed the table to Appendix 21 of the Contract, of which any future failures with regards to working practices will be added accordingly.

Fault Type		August 2016	September 2016	October 2016	November 2016	December 2016	January 2017
Urgent service faults	Any Service Failure that: (a) poses a material risk to life; or (b) poses a material risk of damage to person and/or property; or (c) poses a material risk of significant financial loss and/or disruption to the Authority.	0	0	0	0	0	0

<p>Serious service faults</p>	<p>Any Service Failure that is such that it may develop into an Urgent Service Failure if not rectified or attended to in accordance with Good Industry Practice.</p>	0	1	0	0	0	0
<p>Routine service faults</p>	<p>Any Service Failure that is not immediately detrimental or likely to lead to a Serious Service Failure or an Urgent Service Failure, but that, if not rectified or attended to in accordance with Good Industry Practice, may adversely impact on the Service and / or the Authority's reputation and / or the Service Provider's reputation.</p>	15	95	25	0	0	0

During this six month period there have been no urgent service failures and only one serious service failure. The service provider have improved their working practices over the last twelve months and the monitoring of routine operations are in place and the contract is performing very well.

PS7 - Reporting to the Authority;

2.16 In order for the Councils to monitor the performance of the Service Provider and to ensure appropriate Monthly Payments are made under the Contract, the Service Provider shall provide accurate and complete reporting to the Councils on how the Service Provider is complying with the requirements of the Output Specification.

Over this period the committee are asked to note all reports were submitted on time.

PS9 - Central Management System;

2.17 When this contract was awarded both councils opted for a Central Management System (CMS) to be installed to all street lights as part of a “mandatory variant solution”. In technical terms the key difference between the mandatory variant solutions and standard Solutions is that the mandatory variant solution enables lights to be dimmed, or brightened, flexibly, whereas in the standard solution lights will only come on and off at fixed ambient light levels. The advantage of the mandatory variant solutions is its flexibility, and the opportunity that it affords to cut energy consumption and therefore costs or otherwise to respond to policy considerations. This is something both boroughs have explored under a Variable Lighting Policy.

2.21. Once the Independent Certifier issued the Certificate of Compliance for the new street lighting on a street by street basis the Service Provider ensured that all Replacement CIP Apparatus is connected to and operating on the Central Management System. The client team are continuing to monitor the current operation of the CMS as there have been a small number of issues with regards to the two way communication of individual nodes.

- 2.22 After the completion of the Core Investment Programme across both boroughs there are 42,137 street lighting columns connected to the Central Management System.
- 2.23. Since the last committee Lewisham have introduced a Variant Lighting Level Policy from October 2016 which has had Mayor and Cabinet approval.

1. Human Rights

- 3.1 There are no human rights impact considerations arising from this report.

2. Consultation

- 4.1 During the mobilisation phase and throughout the CIP, the Service Provider was required to liaise and consult with all relevant bodies, which includes the Councils, its officers, and all other stakeholders.
- 4.2 There is a mechanism built within the Output Specification to ensure that this consultation process takes place.
- 4.3 Notifications to residents were distributed in advance of works commencing on site. The requirements was to deliver a leaflet to each property 8 weeks prior to works commencement and a further letter 4 weeks prior to works commencing.
- 4.4 As the CIP programme has finished the councils are working with the Service Provider to develop a revised customer satisfaction survey to ensure ongoing customer engagement.

3. Financial and Risk Assessment Considerations: Croydon and Lewisham

- 5.1 The structure of the Payment Mechanism includes a payment in arrears for the service.
Any under performance in a period will be reflected in a payment adjustment in the following period. A draft Monthly Payment Report is provided to the Councils within five business days of the month for which it is reporting. No later than the end of the month a final monthly payment report is issued to the authority and the authority has 20 business days to settle the account.
- 5.2 As per the Co-Operation Agreement the Joint Committee is required to submit final estimates for approval to the Constituent Authorities no later than November 30th. The Joint Committee is then to set its budget no later than March 15th each year.
- 5.3 The budget for running the Joint Committee itself is minimal and can be contained within the overall project budget or other existing budgets. The contract budget for the year is as set out in the PFI financial model. This budget includes provision for expenditure on the PFI contract itself, the contract monitoring costs and contributions into the sinking fund to even out PFI liabilities over the life of contract, with LBC acting as the lead authority on payments. The total contribution to the sinking fund for 17/18 is £10.3m. Energy costs are paid directly to the respective energy suppliers by the individual authorities and are not part of the sinking fund payment process.

5.4 The financial model sinking fund is periodically reviewed to ensure that adequate resources are set aside for future liabilities. The contributions for 17/18 have been adjusted accordingly. These are offset in part by contract performance deductions.

(Approved by: Zulfiqar Darr, Interim Head of Finance Place, for London Borough of Croydon, John Johnstone, Group Manager Resource Finance Lewisham)

6 Comments of the Councils' Solicitors

6.1 To align the constituent authorities, the legal teams created two agreements, the Governance Agreement and the Co-operation Agreement.

6.2 The Governance Agreement was put in place to set out the joint arrangements for the management of the joint street lighting PFI Project. It details the functions of the Joint Committee, its constitution and decision making powers.

6.3 The Co-operation Agreement sets out the detailed arrangements relating to operation matters including how any disputes between the constituent authorities are to be settled and budget provisions to cover the management costs of the Project.

6.4 It is the function of the Joint Committee to monitor the operational performance of the Service Provider and to receive reports from the Management Board consisting of two representatives of each constituent authority as to the Service Provider's performance over the last quarter.

6.5 The CIP is set out in Schedule 5 to the Project Agreement, but will now need to be revised upon completion of the Core Investment Programme.

6.6 This sets out the timing for replacement of the existing Apparatus during the first five years. It also sets out what needs to be included in the CIP which must take into account significant transportation projects and other programmes or works. The CIP is revised every three months or amended as necessary.

6.7 The Service Provider is obliged to complete its replacement of lighting columns and removal of existing lighting columns by Milestone Completion Dates (Projected). These are set out in a table in the Project Agreement. The Independent Certifier issues a Milestone Certificate on the date that the Service Provider completes each Milestone. If the Service Provider fails to achieve a Milestone then a Milestone Default Termination Point accrues which is cancelled once that Milestone is achieved.

Approved by: Helen Glass, Principal Lawyer on behalf of the Head of Law, Lewisham, Sean Murphy, Principal Corporate Solicitor (Regeneration) on behalf of the Council Solicitor and Monitoring Officer for London Borough of Croydon)

7. Human Resources Impact

7.1 There are no Human Resources considerations arising from this report.

Approved by Jason Singh, HR Business Partner, for and on behalf of Director of HR, Resources department.

8. Customer Impact

- 8.1 The core objective of the street lighting replacement programme, the replacement of the existing aged equipment with a new and well-maintained service, will have a positive impact on the residents.
- 8.2 It is possible that the roll out of a significant civil engineering project, such as this, affecting the entirety of both boroughs especially during the CIP may be perceived as an unwelcome disruption by some members of the public. However every effort will be made to keep residents informed of works taking place in their area.

9. Equalities Impact Assessment (EIA)

- 9.1 An updated Equalities Impact Assessment (EIA) has been undertaken, and there are no specific disadvantages associated with replacing the street lighting in the boroughs. Indeed, the enhanced lighting will be of benefits to all residents and businesses.

10. Environmental and Design Impact

- 10.1 Croydon's carbon management programme was approved in October 2010 and originally set a target to reduce carbon emissions by 25% by 2015 (from a baseline 2009/10). The scope of the emissions covered included corporate buildings, schools and electricity use by street lighting - which represents around 12% of the total emissions. Lewisham Council has a target of a 50% reduction in corporate carbon emissions by 2015/16 from a baseline of 2007/08. This target is supported by a detailed Carbon Management Programme, which incorporates an expectation of reduced emissions from street lighting.
- 10.2 Carbon emissions from Croydon's street lighting are shown in the table below. It should be highlighted that the 2015/16 figure is based on an earlier inventory of assets which will not reflect the effect of more energy efficient equipment delivered through the CIP. This will be corrected with the most up to date inventory being sent to the council's nominated Meter Administrator.

year	Consumption kWh	CO ₂ tonne	Grid emission factor
2015/16	10,126,987	5,027	0.49636
2014/15	10,185,810	5,430	0.5331
2013/14	9,647,256	5,219	0.541

- 10.3 Croydon and Lewisham are both mandatory participants in the government CRC Energy Efficiency Scheme (CRCEES). This requires authorities to submit an annual report on CO₂ emissions associated with operational energy use and to purchase 'Allowances' to cover these emissions. The cost of Allowances for 2015/16 CO₂ emissions was £16.90 per tonne. Unmetered electricity suppliers are within the scope of the CRCEES and the cost of CO₂ emissions relating to Croydon's street lighting in 2015/16 was just under £85k.
- 10.4 Following a review of business energy taxation in 2015, the government has announced that the CRCEES will be abolished in 2019. However, tax revenues from CO₂ emissions will be maintained by increasing the rates of the Climate Change Levy (CCL). The CCL is charged on all non-domestic supplies of electricity and gas.

10.5 The CMS functionality will help reduce street lighting electricity consumption, this will therefore help minimise electricity costs and associated CO₂ emissions along with minimising the costs for CO₂ under the CRCEES.

Approved by; Bob Fiddik, Team Leader Sustainable Development & Energy team

11. Crime and Disorder Reduction Impact

11.1 The general improvement of the street lighting is expected to have a positive impact in the levels of crime and disorder.

12. Freedom of Information/Data Protection Considerations

12.1 There are no data protection issues arising from the Project.

12.2 The Councils' Procurement Strategy and Tenders and Contracts Regulations are accessible under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 as part of the Councils' Publication Scheme. Information requested under the Act about the specific procurement exercise and contract which are the subject of this report, held internally or supplied by external organisations, will be accessible subject to legal advice as to its commercial confidentiality, or other applicable exemption, and whether or not it is in the best interest to do so.

Contact Officers

Steve Iles Authorised Person and Director of Streets, Croydon Council Telephone: 02087266000
ext.:52821 Email: steve.iles@croydon.gov.uk

Katharine Nidd, Service Group Manager, Commercial and Investment Delivery, Lewisham Council
Telephone: 02083147000 Email: Katharine.Nidd@lewisham.gov.uk

John Algar: PFI Contract Manager, Croydon Council Telephone 02083944717
Email: john.algar@croydon.gov.uk

Background Documents: None

This page is intentionally blank